



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/821,005	03/29/2001	Michael D. Ellis	UV/189	8533	
1473	7590 11/27/2006		EXAM	INER	
	EAVE IP GROUP	SHEPARD, JUSTIN E			
ROPES & GRAY LLP 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105			2623	
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/27/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/821,005	ELLIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Justin E. Shepard	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oc	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 October 2006.					
· = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,10-17,24-30,33-35,38-45 and 52-58</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,10-17,24-30,33-35,38-45 and 52-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Undice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Rollice of Draitsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/23/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Pages 3-4:

The applicant argues that Inoue does not disclose a method for predicting by the user equipment a time change. What Inoue discloses a system that receives updated EPG data to indicate when a program that you're recording is going to be on longer than originally expected. The system will take that information and predict whether or not the extended program will run over onto another program that the user has scheduled the system to record. If the system predicts an overlap, the system will update the timing information of the program to be recorded next. This system is interpreted as meeting the limitations of claim 1, and therefore the rejection stands.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.



Art Unit: 2623

Claims 1-2, 5-7, 10-17, 24-26, 29-30, 33-35, 38-45, 52-54, and 57-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Inoue et al. (US 6,185,360).

Regarding claim 1, Inoue teaches a method for use in a recording system for reducing cut-offs when programs are recorded, the method comprising: receiving at the user equipment a user selection of a program to record (See Fig. 11 B Step 4); predicting by the user equipment a time change associated with the program, wherein the predicted time change is based on time changes for previous programs related to the program (See Fig. 11C Step 8 and Col. 8 lines 32-67); and recording by the user equipment the program to compensate for a time change based on the predicted time change (See Fig. 11C and Step 11 and Col. 8 lines 32-67).

Regarding claim 2, Inoue teaches wherein the predicted time change comprises predicted time delay information (See Col. 1 lines 8-15).

Regarding claim **5**, Inoue teaches wherein the predicted time delay information is based on previously logged time changes (See Fig. 11C Step 8, 11 and Col. 1 lines 14-30 Col. 8 lines 32-59. Service information about a program change stored in memory before the broadcast of the program is a previously logged time change).

Regarding claim **6**, Inoue teaches further comprising displaying a predicted time delay information for the program (See Fig. 11C Step 11 and Col: 8 lines 46-59. Changing EPG is displaying time delay information).

Regarding claim **7**, Inoue teaches wherein the predicted time change comprises predicted time extension information (See Fig. 6A-B and Col. 8 lines 32-46).

Regarding claim **10**, Inoue teaches wherein the predicted time extension information is based on previously logged time changes (See Fig. 6A-B and Col. 8 lines 32-46 Time extension information stored in memory up until the completion of the baseball game is a previously logged time change).

Regarding claim **11,** Inoue teaches further comprising displaying a predicted time extension information for the program (See Fig. 6A-B, Fig. 11C Step 11,and Col. 8 lines 32-46).

Regarding claim **12**, Inoue further teaches providing a user with an opportunity to select a recording start time (See Col. 3 lines 28-33 Recording a program directly is selecting a recording start time).

Regarding claim **13**, Inoue further teaches automatically selecting the recording start time (See Col. 3 lines 28-33 automatically recording a desired program includes automatically selecting the recording start time).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claim **14**, Inoue further teaches providing a user with an opportunity to select to have automatic selection of the recording start time (See Col. 3 lines 28-32).

Regarding claim **15**, Inoue further teaches providing a user with an opportunity to select a recording end time (See Col. 3 lines 28-33 Recording a program directly is selecting a recording end time).

Regarding claim **16**, Inoue further teaches automatically selecting the recording end time (See Col. 3 lines 28-33 automatically recording a desired program includes automatically selecting the recording end time).

Regarding claim **17**, Inoue further teaches providing a user with an opportunity to select to have automatic selection of the recording end time (See Col. 3 lines 28-33).

Regarding claim **24**, Inoue further teaches displaying an icon in a program listing for the program to indicate that the predicted time change is available (See Fig. 6B Extending Baseball rectangle).

Regarding claim **25**, Inoue further teaches displaying an icon in a program listing for that program that indicates the program is to be recorded (See Col. 7 lines 60-65).

Regarding claim **26**, Inoue further teaches trimming a recording time of the scheduled program or an adjacent program to reduce the cut-off in a program recording (See Fig. 6A-B, Col. 8 lines 20-25, 32-67, and Col. 9 lines 1-35 If overlap is detected a decision is made as to which program is to be recorded. Fig. 6A shows the original recording schedule with the shaded regions showing the programs to be recorded. Fig. 6B shows the modified recording schedule where the recording of Jurassic Land is trimmed to reduce the cut-off in the program recording of Baseball).

Regarding claim 29, Inoue teaches user recording equipment that reduces cutoffs when programs are recorded (See Fig. 1 and Col. 1 lines 60-67 Col. 2 lines 1-60),
the user recording equipment comprising: control circuitry that is configured to: receive
a user selection of a program to record; and predict a time change associated with the
program, (See Fig. 2 Input and output information controller CPU 23a and Col. 1 lines
60-67 Col. 2 lines 1-60) wherein the predicted time change information is based on time
changes for previous programs related to the program (Col. 8 lines 32-67); and a media
recording device that is responsive to the control circuitry and that is configured to
record the program to compensate for a time change based on the predicted time
change (See Fig. 1 Second Memory Unit 26 and Col. 1 lines 60-67 Col. 2 lines 1-60).

Regarding claims **30**, **33-35**, **38-45**, **52-54**, claims **30**, **33-35**, **38-45**, **52-54** are functions performed by the apparatus of claim 29 related to method claims 2, 5-7, 10-

Art Unit: 2623

17, 24-26, respectively. Therefore, claims **30, 33-35, 38-45, 52-54** are analyzed and rejected according to claims 2, 5-7, 10-17, 24-26.

Regarding claim **57**, Inoue teaches allowing the user to change the predicted time change information (See Col. 7 lines 32-48 In Inoue, as interpreted in Response to Arguments above, service additional information is predicted time change. The user can change which service additional information used to construct the EPG. Thus, the user can change predicted time change information).

Regarding claim **58**, claim **58** is an apparatus claim 29 related to method claim 57. Therefore, claims **58** is analyzed and rejected according to method claim 57.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27-28 and 55-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al.

Regarding claim **27**, Inoue teaches wherein trimming the recording comprises trimming based on a confidence level in user's preferred programming for the scheduled program and the adjacent program (See Fig. 15 Step 42 Col. 17 lines 36-67, Col. 18 1-6

and Col. 19 lines 8-20). Establishing a confidence level based on user preferred programming is different than establishing a confidence level based on time change information. However, both methods of establishing a confidence level are similar in that both methods rely on previously logged data. Inoue also teaches various types of information including information about program distribution time can be used to decide which program is trimmed (See Col. 1 lines 22-30, Col. 9 lines 1-36, and Col. 11 lines 20-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Inoue's method of determining which program is trimmed based on a confidence level in time change information for the scheduled and the adjacent program in order to provide Inoue's method an alternate way of automatically choosing which program to trim (See Col. 2 lines 16-25).

Regarding claim 28, Inoue teaches wherein trimming comprises trimming a time changed recording time of the scheduled program when time change information for the scheduled program has a lower confidence level than the adjacent program (See Col. 9 lines 1-22 Either program can be trimmed).

Regarding claims 55-56, claims 55-56 are functions performed by the apparatus of claim 29 related to method claims 27-28, respectively. Therefore, claims 55-56 are analyzed and rejected according to claims 27-28.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin E. Shepard whose telephone number is (571) 272-5967. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2623

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JS

CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPTIMICARY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600